

EV316935300

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION FOR LETTERS PATENT

Mechanism for Handling Input Parameters

Inventor(s):

Jeffrey P. Snover
James W. Truher III

ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NO. MS1-1738US

TECHNICAL FIELD

Subject matter disclosed herein relates to input parameters, and in particular to the handling of input parameters.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

In software programming, there is a common practice to specify input parameters (commonly referred to as arguments) for a piece of code. The piece of code may be a function, a method, an executable, or the like. In traditional run-time environments, a compiler performs a check on the code to ensure that the correct number and the correct type of input parameters are specified before the code is invoked. If the compiler determines that the code would be invoked without the correct number or correct type of input parameters, the compiler generates an error. The code may then be modified to specify the correct number or type of input parameters and be re-compiled. Thus, in this type of environment, the correct information must be known and specified at compile time. This prevents many run-time errors.

In interpretive environments, such as command line environments, the code itself must perform the check on the input as the input is entered. The code may employ filters to ensure that the code receives the correct input entities. Checking whether the correct input is specified is very important, but sometimes requires sophisticated programming knowledge. Many system administrators using command line environments do not possess the necessary programming knowledge or do not want to perform this type of check. Because of this, many

1 times the input parameters are not properly checked. Unfortunately, this may lead
2 to errors.

3 Therefore, there is a need for a mechanism that ensures the correct input
4 entities are supplied, without requiring sophisticated programming knowledge.

5 **SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

6 The present mechanism provides a grammar for specifying required
7 prerequisites (e.g., number and type of input parameters) that an object must
8 possess in order for processing to occur on the object. The mechanism allows
9 programmers and non-programmers to easily specify these prerequisites. The
10 prerequisites may be specified within a data structure. The data structure
11 comprises a parameter declaration for each expected input parameter and a
12 mechanism that identifies a corresponding parameter within an input source for
13 each expected input parameter based on its declaration. The mechanism further
14 populates each expected input parameter with information associated with the
15 corresponding parameter when the data structure becomes instantiated into an
16 object. The mechanism may be provided by a runtime environment.

17 **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

18 FIGURE 1 illustrates an exemplary computing device that may use an
19 exemplary administrative tool environment.

20 FIGURE 2 is a block diagram generally illustrating an overview of an
21 exemplary administrative tool framework for the present administrative tool
22 environment.

23 FIGURE 3 is a block diagram illustrating components within the host-
24 specific components of the administrative tool framework shown in FIGURE 2.
25

FIGURE 4 is a block diagram illustrating components within the core engine component of the administrative tool framework shown in FIGURE 2.

FIGURE 5 is one exemplary data structure for specifying a cmdlet suitable for use within the administrative tool framework shown in FIGURE 2.

FIGURE 6 is an exemplary data structure for specifying a command base type from which a cmdlet shown in FIGURE 5 is derived.

FIGURE 7 is another exemplary data structure for specifying a cmdlet suitable for use within the administrative tool framework shown in FIGURE 2.

FIGURE 8 is a logical flow diagram illustrating an exemplary process for host processing that is performed within the administrative tool framework shown in FIGURE 2.

FIGURE 9 is a logical flow diagram illustrating an exemplary process for handling input that is performed within the administrative tool framework shown in FIGURE 2.

FIGURE 10 is a logical flow diagram illustrating a process for processing scripts suitable for use within the process for handling input shown in FIGURE 9.

FIGURE 11 is a logical flow diagram illustrating a script pre-processing process suitable for use within the script processing process shown in FIGURE 10.

FIGURE 12 is a logical flow diagram illustrating a process for applying constraints suitable for use within the script processing process shown in FIGURE 10.

FIGURE 13 is a functional flow diagram illustrating the processing of a command string in the administrative tool framework shown in FIGURE 2.

1 FIGURE 14 is a logical flow diagram illustrating a process for processing
2 commands strings suitable for use within the process for handling input shown in
3 FIGURE 9.

4 FIGURE 15 is a logical flow diagram illustrating an exemplary process for
5 creating an instance of a cmdlet suitable for use within the processing of command
6 strings shown in FIGURE 14.

7 FIGURE 16 is a logical flow diagram illustrating an exemplary process for
8 populating properties of a cmdlet suitable for use within the processing of
9 commands shown in FIGURE 14.

10 FIGURE 17 is a logical flow diagram illustrating an exemplary process for
11 executing the cmdlet suitable for use within the processing of commands shown in
12 FIGURE 14.

13 FIGURE 18 is a functional block diagram of an exemplary extended type
14 manager suitable for use within the administrative tool framework shown in
15 FIGURE 2.

16 FIGURE 19 graphically depicts exemplary sequences for output processing
17 cmdlets within a pipeline.

18 FIGURE 20 illustrates exemplary processing performed by one of the
19 output processing cmdlets shown in FIGURE 19.

20 FIGURE 21 graphically depicts an exemplary structure for display
21 information accessed during the processing of FIGURE 20.

22 FIGURE 22 is a table listing an exemplary syntax for exemplary output
23 processing cmdlets.

24 FIGURE 23 illustrates results rendered by the out/console cmdlet using
25 various pipeline sequences of the output processing cmdlets.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Briefly stated, the present mechanism provides a grammar for specifying required prerequisites (e.g., number and type of input parameters) that an object must possess in order for processing to occur on the object. The mechanism allows programmers and non-programmers to easily specify these prerequisites.

The following description sets forth a specific exemplary administrative tool environment in which the mechanism operates. Other exemplary environments may include features of this specific embodiment and/or other features, which aim to facilitate handling of properties for an object.

The following detailed description is divided into several sections. A first section describes an illustrative computing environment in which the administrative tool environment may operate. A second section describes an exemplary framework for the administrative tool environment. Subsequent sections describe individual components of the exemplary framework and the operation of these components. For example, the section on "Exemplary Process for Populating the Cmdlet", in conjunction with FIGURE 16, describes an exemplary mechanism for handling input parameters.

Exemplary Computing Environment

FIGURE 1 illustrates an exemplary computing device that may be used in an exemplary administrative tool environment. In a very basic configuration, computing device 100 typically includes at least one processing unit 102 and system memory 104. Depending on the exact configuration and type of computing device, system memory 104 may be volatile (such as RAM), non-volatile (such as ROM, flash memory, etc.) or some combination of the two. System memory 104 typically includes an operating system 105, one or more

1 program modules **106**, and may include program data **107**. The operating system
2 **106** include a component-based framework **120** that supports components
3 (including properties and events), objects, inheritance, polymorphism, reflection,
4 and provides an object-oriented component-based application programming
5 interface (API), such as that of the .NET™ Framework manufactured by
6 Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA. The operating system **105** also includes
7 an administrative tool framework **200** that interacts with the component-based
8 framework **120** to support development of administrative tools (not shown). This
9 basic configuration is illustrated in FIGURE 1 by those components within dashed
10 line **108**.

11 Computing device **100** may have additional features or functionality. For
12 example, computing device **100** may also include additional data storage devices
13 (removable and/or non-removable) such as, for example, magnetic disks, optical
14 disks, or tape. Such additional storage is illustrated in FIGURE 1 by removable
15 storage **109** and non-removable storage **110**. Computer storage media may
16 include volatile and nonvolatile, removable and non-removable media
17 implemented in any method or technology for storage of information, such as
18 computer readable instructions, data structures, program modules, or other data.
19 System memory **104**, removable storage **109** and non-removable storage **110** are
20 all examples of computer storage media. Computer storage media includes, but is
21 not limited to, RAM, ROM, EEPROM, flash memory or other memory
22 technology, CD-ROM, digital versatile disks (DVD) or other optical storage,
23 magnetic cassettes, magnetic tape, magnetic disk storage or other magnetic storage
24 devices, or any other medium which can be used to store the desired information
25

1 and which can be accessed by computing device 100. Any such computer storage
2 media may be part of device 100. Computing device 100 may also have input
3 device(s) 112 such as keyboard, mouse, pen, voice input device, touch input
4 device, etc. Output device(s) 114 such as a display, speakers, printer, etc. may
5 also be included. These devices are well know in the art and need not be
6 discussed at length here.

7 Computing device 100 may also contain communication connections 116
8 that allow the device to communicate with other computing devices 118, such as
9 over a network. Communication connections 116 are one example of
10 communication media. Communication media may typically be embodied by
11 computer readable instructions, data structures, program modules, or other data in
12 a modulated data signal, such as a carrier wave or other transport mechanism, and
13 includes any information delivery media. The term “modulated data signal”
14 means a signal that has one or more of its characteristics set or changed in such a
15 manner as to encode information in the signal. By way of example, and not
16 limitation, communication media includes wired media such as a wired network or
17 direct-wired connection, and wireless media such as acoustic, RF, infrared and
18 other wireless media. The term computer readable media as used herein includes
19 both storage media and communication media.

20 Exemplary Administrative Tool Framework

21 FIGURE 2 is a block diagram generally illustrating an overview of an
22 exemplary administrative tool framework 200. Administrative tool framework
23 200 includes one or more host components 202, host-specific components 204,
24 host-independent components 206, and handler components 208. The host-
25

independent components 206 may communicate with each of the other components (i.e., the host components 202, the host-specific components 204, and the handler components 208). Each of these components are briefly described below and described in further detail, as needed, in subsequent sections.

Host components

The host components 202 include one or more host programs (e.g., host programs 210-214) that expose automation features for an associated application to users or to other programs. Each host program 210-214 may expose these automation features in its own particular style, such as via a command line, a graphical user interface (GUI), a voice recognition interface, application programming interface (API), a scripting language, a web service, and the like. However, each of the host programs 210-214 expose the one or more automation features through a mechanism provided by the administrative tool framework.

In this example, the mechanism uses cmdlets to surface the administrative tool capabilities to a user of the associated host program 210-214. In addition, the mechanism uses a set of interfaces made available by the host to embed the administrative tool environment within the application associated with the corresponding host program 210-214. Throughout the following discussion, the term “cmdlet” is used to refer to commands that are used within the exemplary administrative tool environment described with reference to FIGURES 2-23.

Cmdlets correspond to commands in traditional administrative environments. However, cmdlets are quite different than these traditional commands. For example, cmdlets are typically smaller in size than their counterpart commands because the cmdlets can utilize common functions

1 provided by the administrative tool framework, such as parsing, data validation,
2 error reporting, and the like. Because such common functions can be implemented
3 once and tested once, the use of cmdlets throughout the administrative tool
4 framework allows the incremental development and test costs associated with
5 application-specific functions to be quite low compared to traditional
6 environments.

7 In addition, in contrast to traditional environments, cmdlets do not need to
8 be stand-alone executable programs. Rather, cmdlets may run in the same
9 processes within the administrative tool framework. This allows cmdlets to
10 exchange “live” objects between each other. This ability to exchange “live”
11 objects allows the cmdlets to directly invoke methods on these objects. The
12 details for creating and using cmdlets are described in further detail below.

13 In overview, each host program **210-214** manages the interactions between
14 the user and the other components within the administrative tool framework.
15 These interactions may include prompts for parameters, reports of errors, and the
16 like. Typically, each host program **210-213** may provide its own set of specific
17 host cmdlets (e.g., host cmdlets **218**). For example, if the host program is an email
18 program, the host program may provide host cmdlets that interact with mailboxes
19 and messages. Even though FIGURE 2 illustrates host programs **210-214**, one
20 skilled in the art will appreciate that host components **202** may include other host
21 programs associated with existing or newly created applications. These other host
22 programs will also embed the functionality provided by the administrative tool
23 environment within their associated application. The processing provided by a
24 host program is described in detail below in conjunction with FIGURE 8.
25

1 In the examples illustrated in FIGURE 2, a host program may be a
2 management console (i.e., host program 210) that provides a simple, consistent,
3 administration user interface for users to create, save, and open administrative
4 tools that manage the hardware, software, and network components of the
5 computing device. To accomplish these functions, host program 210 provides a
6 set of services for building management GUIs on top of the administrative tool
7 framework. The GUI interactions may also be exposed as user-visible scripts that
8 help teach the users the scripting capabilities provided by the administrative tool
9 environment.

10 In another example, the host program may be a command line interactive
11 shell (i.e., host program 212). The command line interactive shell may allow shell
12 metadata 216 to be input on the command line to affect processing of the
13 command line.

14 In still another example, the host program may be a web service (i.e., host
15 program 214) that uses industry standard specifications for distributed computing
16 and interoperability across platforms, programming languages, and applications.

17 In addition to these examples, third parties may add their own host
18 components by creating “third party” or “provider” interfaces and provider
19 cmdlets that are used with their host program or other host programs. The
20 provider interface exposes an application or infrastructure so that the application
21 or infrastructure can be manipulated by the administrative tool framework. The
22 provider cmdlets provide automation for navigation, diagnostics, configuration,
23 lifecycle, operations, and the like. The provider cmdlets exhibit polymorphic
24 cmdlet behavior on a completely heterogeneous set of data stores. The
25

1 administrative tool environment operates on the provider cmdlets with the same
2 priority as other cmdlet classes. The provider cmdlet is created using the same
3 mechanisms as the other cmdlets. The provider cmdlets expose specific
4 functionality of an application or an infrastructure to the administrative tool
5 framework. Thus, through the use of cmdlets, product developers need only create
6 one host component that will then allow their product to operate with many
7 administrative tools. For example, with the exemplary administrative tool
8 environment, system level graphical user interface help menus may be integrated
9 and ported to existing applications.

10 **Host-specific components**

11 The host-specific components **204** include a collection of services that
12 computing systems (e.g., computing device **100** in FIGURE 1) use to isolate the
13 administrative tool framework from the specifics of the platform on which the
14 framework is running. Thus, there is a set of host-specific components for each
15 type of platform. The host-specific components allow the users to use the same
16 administrative tools on different operating systems.

17 Turning briefly to FIGURE 3, the host-specific components **204** may
18 include an intellisense/metadata access component **302**, a help cmdlet component
19 **304**, a configuration/registration component **306**, a cmdlet setup component **308**,
20 and an output interface component **309**. Components **302-308** communicate with a
21 database store manager **312** associated with a database store **314**. The parser **220**
22 and script engine **222** communicate with the intellisense/metadata access
23 component **302**. The core engine **224** communicates with the help cmdlet
24 component **304**, the configuration/registration component **306**, the cmdlet setup
25

1 component 308, and the output interface component 309. The output interface
2 component 309 includes interfaces provided by the host to out cmdlets. These out
3 cmdlets can then call the host's output object to perform the rendering. Host-
4 specific components 204 may also include a logging/auditing component 310,
5 which the core engine 224 uses to communicate with host specific (i.e., platform
6 specific) services that provide logging and auditing capabilities.

7 In one exemplary administrative tool framework, the intellisense/metadata
8 access component 302 provides auto-completion of commands, parameters, and
9 parameter values. The help cmdlet component 304 provides a customized help
10 system based on a host user interface.

11 Handler components

12 Referring back to FIGURE 2, the handler components 208 includes legacy
13 utilities 230, management cmdlets 232, non-management cmdlets 234, remoting
14 cmdlets 236, and a web service interface 238. The management cmdlets 232 (also
15 referred to as platform cmdlets) include cmdlets that query or manipulate the
16 configuration information associated with the computing device. Because
17 management cmdlets 232 manipulate system type information, they are dependant
18 upon a particular platform. However, each platform typically has management
19 cmdlets 232 that provide similar actions as management cmdlets 232 on other
20 platforms. For example, each platform supports management cmdlets 232 that get
21 and set system administrative attributes (e.g., get/process, set/IPAddress). The
22 host-independent components 206 communicate with the management cmdlets via
23 cmdlet objects generated within the host-independent components 206.
24
25

Exemplary data structures for cmdlets objects will be described in detail below in conjunction with FIGURES 5-7.

The non-management cmdlets **234** (sometimes referred to as base cmdlets) include cmdlets that group, sort, filter, and perform other processing on objects provided by the management cmdlets **232**. The non-management cmdlets **234** may also include cmdlets for formatting and outputting data associated with the pipelined objects. An exemplary mechanism for providing a data driven command line output is described below in conjunction with FIGURES 19-23. The non-management cmdlets **234** may be the same on each platform and provide a set of utilities that interact with host-independent components **206** via cmdlet objects. The interactions between the non-management cmdlets **234** and the host-independent components **206** allow reflection on objects and allow processing on the reflected objects independent of their (object) type. Thus, these utilities allow developers to write non-management cmdlets once and then apply these non-management cmdlets across all classes of objects supported on a computing system. In the past, developers had to first comprehend the format of the data that was to be processed and then write the application to process only that data. As a consequence, traditional applications could only process data of a very limited scope. One exemplary mechanism for processing objects independent of their object type is described below in conjunction with FIGURE 18.

The legacy utilities **230** include existing executables, such as win32 executables that run under cmd.exe. Each legacy utility **230** communicates with the administrative tool framework using text streams (i.e., stdin and stdout), which are a type of object within the object framework. Because the legacy utilities **230**

1 utilize text streams, reflection-based operations provided by the administrative tool
2 framework are not available. The legacy utilities 230 execute in a different
3 process than the administrative tool framework. Although not shown, other
4 cmdlets may also operate out of process.

5 The remoting cmdlets 236, in combination with the web service interface
6 238, provide remoting mechanisms to access interactive and programmatic
7 administrative tool environments on other computing devices over a
8 communication media, such as internet or intranet (e.g., internet/intranet 240
9 shown in FIGURE 2). In one exemplary administrative tool framework, the
10 remoting mechanisms support federated services that depend on infrastructure that
11 spans multiple independent control domains. The remoting mechanism allows
12 scripts to execute on remote computing devices. The scripts may be run on a
13 single or on multiple remote systems. The results of the scripts may be processed
14 as each individual script completes or the results may be aggregated and processed
15 en-masse after all the scripts on the various computing devices have completed.

16 For example, web service 214 shown as one of the host components 202
17 may be a remote agent. The remote agent handles the submission of remote
18 command requests to the parser and administrative tool framework on the target
19 system. The remoting cmdlets serve as the remote client to provide access to the
20 remote agent. The remote agent and the remoting cmdlets communicate via a
21 parsed stream. This parsed stream may be protected at the protocol layer, or
22 additional cmdlets may be used to encrypt and then decrypt the parsed stream.

23 Host-independent components

24
25

1 The host-independent components 206 include a parser 220, a script engine
2 222 and a core engine 224. The host-independent components 206 provide
3 mechanisms and services to group multiple cmdlets, coordinate the operation of
4 the cmdlets, and coordinate the interaction of other resources, sessions, and jobs
5 with the cmdlets.

6 **Exemplary Parser**

7 The parser 220 provides mechanisms for receiving input requests from
8 various host programs and mapping the input requests to uniform cmdlet objects
9 that are used throughout the administrative tool framework, such as within the
10 core engine 224. In addition, the parser 220 may perform data processing based
11 on the input received. One exemplary method for performing data processing
12 based on the input is described below in conjunction with FIGURE 12. The
13 parser 220 of the present administrative tool framework provides the capability to
14 easily expose different languages or syntax to users for the same capabilities. For
15 example, because the parser 220 is responsible for interpreting the input requests,
16 a change to the code within the parser 220 that affects the expected input syntax
17 will essentially affect each user of the administrative tool framework. Therefore,
18 system administrators may provide different parsers on different computing
19 devices that support different syntax. However, each user operating with the same
20 parser will experience a consistent syntax for each cmdlet. In contrast, in
21 traditional environments, each command implemented its own syntax. Thus, with
22 thousands of commands, each environment supported several different syntax,
23 usually many of which were inconsistent with each other.

24 **Exemplary Script Engine**

25

1 The script engine **222** provides mechanisms and services to tie multiple
2 cmdlets together using a script. A script is an aggregation of command lines that
3 share session state under strict rules of inheritance. The multiple command lines
4 within the script may be executed either synchronously or asynchronously, based
5 on the syntax provided in the input request. The script engine **222** has the ability
6 to process control structures, such as loops and conditional clauses and to process
7 variables within the script. The script engine also manages session state and gives
8 cmdlets access to session data based on a policy (not shown).

9 **Exemplary Core Engine**

10 The core engine **224** is responsible for processing cmdlets identified by the
11 parser **220**. Turning briefly to FIGURE 4, an exemplary core engine **224** within
12 the administrative tool framework **200** is illustrated. The exemplary core engine
13 **224** includes a pipeline processor **402**, a loader **404**, a metadata processor **406**, an
14 error & event handler **408**, a session manager **410**, and an extended type manager
15 **412**.

16 Exemplary Metadata Processor

17 The metadata processor **406** is configured to access and store metadata
18 within a metadata store, such as database store **314** shown in FIGURE 3. The
19 metadata may be supplied via the command line, within a cmdlet class definition,
20 and the like. Different components within the administrative tool framework **200**
21 may request the metadata when performing their processing. For example, parser
22 **202** may request metadata to validate parameters supplied on the command line.

23 Exemplary Error & Event Processor

24
25

1 The error & event processor **408** provides an error object to store
2 information about each occurrence of an error during processing of a command
3 line. For additional information about one particular error and event processor
4 which is particularly suited for the present administrative tool framework, refer to
5 U.S. Patent Application No. ____ / U.S. Patent No. ____, entitled "System and
6 Method for Persisting Error Information in a Command Line Environment", which
7 is owned by the same assignee as the present invention, and is incorporated here
8 by reference.

9 Exemplary Session Manager

10 The session manager **410** supplies session and state information to other
11 components within the administrative tool framework **200**. The state information
12 managed by the session manager may be accessed by any cmdlet, host, or core
13 engine via programming interfaces. These programming interfaces allow for the
14 creation, modification, and deletion of state information.

15 Exemplary Pipeline Processor and Loader

16 The loader **404** is configured to load each cmdlet in memory in order for
17 the pipeline processor **402** to execute the cmdlet. The pipeline processor **402**
18 includes a cmdlet processor **420** and a cmdlet manager **422**. The cmdlet
19 processor **420** dispatches individual cmdlets. If the cmdlet requires execution on a
20 remote, or a set of remote machines, the cmdlet processor **420** coordinates the
21 execution with the remoting cmdlet **236** shown in FIGURE 2. The cmdlet
22 manager **422** handles the execution of aggregations of cmdlets. The cmdlet
23 manager **422**, the cmdlet processor **420**, and the script engine **222** (FIGURE 2)
24 communicate with each other in order to perform the processing on the input
25 received from the host program **210-214**. The communication may be recursive in

1 nature. For example, if the host program provides a script, the script may invoke
2 the cmdlet manager **422** to execute a cmdlet, which itself may be a script. The
3 script may then be executed by the script engine **222**. One exemplary process
4 flow for the core engine is described in detail below in conjunction with FIGURE
5 14.

6 Exemplary Extended Type Manager

7 As mentioned above, the administrative tool framework provides a set of
8 utilities that allows reflection on objects and allows processing on the reflected
9 objects independent of their (object) type. The administrative tool framework **200**
10 interacts with the component framework on the computing system (component
11 framework **120** in FIGURE 1) to perform this reflection. As one skilled in the art
12 will appreciate, reflection provides the ability to query an object and to obtain a
13 type for the object, and then reflect on various objects and properties associated
14 with that type of object to obtain other objects and/or a desired value.

15 Even though reflection provides the administrative tool framework **200** a
16 considerable amount of information on objects, the inventors appreciated that
17 reflection focuses on the type of object. For example, when a database datatable is
18 reflected upon, the information that is returned is that the datatable has two
19 properties: a column property and a row property. These two properties do not
20 provide sufficient detail regarding the "objects" within the datatable. Similar
21 problems arise when reflection is used on extensible markup language (XML) and
22 other objects.

23 Thus, the inventors conceived of an extended type manager **412** that
24 focuses on the usage of the type. For this extended type manager, the type of
25 object is not important. Instead, the extended type manager is interested in

1 whether the object can be used to obtain required information. Continuing with
2 the above datatable example, the inventors appreciated that knowing that the
3 datatable has a column property and a row property is not particularly interesting,
4 but appreciated that one column contained information of interest. Focusing on
5 the usage, one could associate each row with an “object” and associate each
6 column with a “property” of that “object”. Thus, the extended type manager 412
7 provides a mechanism to create “objects” from any type of precisely parse-able
8 input. In so doing, the extended type manager 412 supplements the reflection
9 capabilities provided by the component-based framework 120 and extends
10 “reflection” to any type of precisely parse-able input.

11 In overview, the extended type manager is configured to access precisely
12 parse-able input (not shown) and to correlate the precisely parse-able input with a
13 requested data type. The extended type manager 412 then provides the requested
14 information to the requesting component, such as the pipeline processor 402 or
15 parser 220. In the following discussion, precisely parse-able input is defined as
16 input in which properties and values may be discerned. Some exemplary precisely
17 parse-able input include Windows Management Instrumentation (WMI) input,
18 ActiveX Data Objects (ADO) input, eXtensible Markup Language (XML) input,
19 and object input, such as .NET objects. Other precisely parse-able input may
20 include third party data formats.

21 Turning briefly to FIGURE 18, a functional block diagram of an exemplary
22 extended type manager for use within the administrative tool framework is shown.
23 For explanation purposes, the functionality (denoted by the number “3” within a
24 circle) provided by the extended type manager is contrasted with the functionality
25

1 provided by a traditional tightly bound system (denoted by the number "1" within
2 a circle) and the functionality provided by a reflection system (denoted by the
3 number "2" within a circle). In the traditional tightly bound system, a caller **1802**
4 within an application directly accesses the information (e.g., properties P1 and P2,
5 methods M1 and M2) within object A. As mentioned above, the caller **1802** must
6 know, a priori, the properties (e.g., properties P1 and P2) and methods (e.g.,
7 methods M1 and M2) provided by object A at compile time. In the reflection
8 system, generic code **1820** (not dependent on any data type) queries a system **1808**
9 that performs reflection **1810** on the requested object and returns the information
10 (e.g., properties P1 and P2, methods M1 and M2) about the object (e.g., object A)
11 to the generic code **1820**. Although not shown in object A, the returned
12 information may include additional information, such as vendor, file, date, and the
13 like. Thus, through reflection, the generic code **1820** obtains at least the same
14 information that the tightly bound system provides. The reflection system also
15 allows the caller **1802** to query the system and get additional information without
16 any a priori knowledge of the parameters.

17 In both the tightly bound systems and the reflection systems, new data
18 types can not be easily incorporated within the operating environment. For
19 example, in a tightly bound system, once the operating environment is delivered,
20 the operating environment can not incorporate new data types because it would
21 have to be rebuilt in order to support them. Likewise, in reflection systems, the
22 metadata for each object class is fixed. Thus, incorporating new data types is not
23 usually done.

24 However, with the present extended type manager new data types can be
25 incorporated into the operating system. With the extended type manager **1822**,

1 generic code 1820 may reflect on a requested object to obtain extended data types
2 (e.g., object A') provided by various external sources, such as a third party objects
3 (e.g., object A' and B), a semantic web 1832, an ontology service 1834, and the
4 like. As shown, the third party object may extend an existing object (e.g., object
5 A') or may create an entirely new object (e.g., object B).

6 Each of these external sources may register their unique structure within a
7 type metadata 1840 and may provide code 1842. When an object is queried, the
8 extended type manager reviews the type metadata 1840 to determine whether the
9 object has been registered. If the object is not registered within the type metadata
10 1840, reflection is performed. Otherwise, extended reflection is performed. The
11 code 1842 returns the additional properties and methods associated with the type
12 being reflected upon. For example, if the input type is XML, the code 1842 may
13 include a description file that describes the manner in which the XML is used to
14 create the objects from the XML document. Thus, the type metadata 1840
15 describes how the extended type manager 412 should query various types of
16 precisely parse-able input (e.g., third party objects A' and B, semantic web 1832)
17 to obtain the desired properties for creating an object for that specific input type
18 and the code 1842 provides the instructions to obtain these desired properties. As
19 a result, the extended type manager 412 provides a layer of indirection that allows
20 "reflection" on all types of objects.

21 In addition to providing extended types, the extend type manager 412
22 provides additional query mechanisms, such as a property path mechanism, a key
23 mechanism, a compare mechanism, a conversion mechanism, a globber
24 mechanism, a property set mechanism, a relationship mechanism, and the like.
25 Each of these query mechanisms, described below in the section "Exemplary

1 Extended Type Manager Processing”, provides flexibility to system administrators
2 when entering command strings. Various techniques may be used to implement
3 the semantics for the extended type manager. Three techniques are described
4 below. However, those skilled in the art will appreciate that variations of these
5 techniques may be used without departing from the scope of the claimed
6 invention.

7 In one technique, a series of classes having static methods (e.g.,
8 getProperty()) may be provided. An object is input into the static method (e.g.,
9 getProperty(object)), and the static method returns a set of results. For another
10 technique, the operating environment envelopes the object with an adapter. Thus,
11 no input is supplied. Each instance of the adapter has a getProperty method that
12 acts upon the enveloped object and returns the properties for the enveloped object.

13 The following is pseudo code illustrating this technique:

14
15 Class Adaptor

16 {
17 Object X;
18 getProperties();
19 }.

20
21 In still another technique, an adaptor class subclasses the object.
22 Traditionally, subclassing occurred before compilation. However, with certain
23 operating environments, subclassing may occur dynamically. For these types of
24 environments, the following is pseudo code illustrating this technique:
25

```

1      Class Adaptor : A
2      {
3          getProperties()
4          {
5              return data;
6          }
7      }.

```

Thus, as illustrated in FIGURE 18, the extended type manager allows developers to create a new data type, register the data type, and allow other applications and cmdlets to use the new data type. In contrast, in prior administrative environments, each data type had to be known at compile time so that a property or method associated with an object instantiated from that data type could be directly accessed. Therefore, adding new data types that were supported by the administrative environment was seldom done in the past.

Referring back to FIGURE 2, in overview, the administrative tool framework 200 does not rely on the shell for coordinating the execution of commands input by users, but rather, splits the functionality into processing portions (e.g., host-independent components 206) and user interaction portions (e.g., via host cmdlets). In addition, the present administrative tool environment greatly simplifies the programming of administrative tools because the code required for parsing and data validation is no longer included within each command, but is rather provided by components (e.g., parser 220) within the administrative tool framework. The exemplary processing performed within the administrative tool framework is described below.

Exemplary Operation

FIGURES 5-7 graphically illustrate exemplary data structures used within the administrative tool environment. FIGURES 8-17 graphically illustrate exemplary processing flows within the administrative tool environment. One skilled in the art will appreciate that certain processing may be performed by a different component than the component described below without departing from the scope of the present invention. Before describing the processing performed within the components of the administrative tool framework, exemplary data structures used within the administrative tool framework are described.

Exemplary Data Structures for Cmdlet Objects

FIGURE 5 is an exemplary data structure for specifying a cmdlet suitable for use within the administrative tool framework shown in FIGURE 2. When completed, the cmdlet may be a management cmdlet, a non-management cmdlet, a host cmdlet, a provider cmdlet, or the like. The following discussion describes the creation of a cmdlet with respect to a system administrator's perspective (i.e., a provider cmdlet). However, each type of cmdlet is created in the same manner and operates in a similar manner. A cmdlet may be written in any language, such as C#. In addition, the cmdlet may be written using a scripting language or the like. When the administrative tool environment operates with the .NET Framework, the cmdlet may be a .NET object.

The provider cmdlet **500** (hereinafter, referred to as cmdlet **500**) is a public class having a cmdlet class name (e.g., StopProcess **504**). Cmdlet **500** derives from a cmdlet class **506**. An exemplary data structure for a cmdlet class **506** is described below in conjunction with FIGURE 6. Each cmdlet **500** is associated with a command attribute **502** that associates a name (e.g., Stop/Process) with the

1 cmdlet **500**. The name is registered within the administrative tool environment.
2 As will be described below, the parser looks in the cmdlet registry to identify the
3 cmdlet **500** when a command string having the name (e.g., Stop/Process) is
4 supplied as input on a command line or in a script.

5 The cmdlet **500** is associated with a grammar mechanism that defines a
6 grammar for expected input parameters to the cmdlet. The grammar mechanism
7 may be directly or indirectly associated with the cmdlet. For example, the cmdlet
8 **500** illustrates a direct grammar association. In this cmdlet **500**, one or more
9 public parameters (e.g., ProcessName **510** and PID **512**) are declared. The
10 declaration of the public parameters drives the parsing of the input objects to the
11 cmdlet **500**. Alternatively, the description of the parameters may appear in an
12 external source, such as an XML document. The description of the parameters in
13 this external source would then drive the parsing of the input objects to the cmdlet.

14 Each public parameter **510**, **512** may have one or more attributes (i.e.,
15 directives) associated with it. The directives may be from any of the following
16 categories: parsing directive **521**, data validation directive **522**, data generation
17 directive **523**, processing directive **524**, encoding directive **525**, and
18 documentation directive **526**. The directives may be surrounded by square
19 brackets. Each directive describes an operation to be performed on the following
20 expected input parameter. Some of the directives may also be applied at a class
21 level, such as user-interaction type directives. The directives are stored in the
22 metadata associated with the cmdlet. The application of these attributes is
23 described below in conjunction with FIGURE 12.
24
25

1 These attributes may also affect the population of the parameters declared
2 within the cmdlet. One exemplary process for populating these parameters is
3 described below in conjunction with FIGURE 16. The core engine may apply
4 these directives to ensure compliance. The cmdlet **500** includes a first method **530**
5 (hereinafter, interchangeably referred to as StartProcessing method **530**) and a
6 second method **540** (hereinafter, interchangeably referred to as processRecord
7 method **540**). The core engine uses the first and second methods **530**, **540** to
8 direct the processing of the cmdlet **500**. For example, the first method **530** is
9 executed once and performs set-up functions. The code **542** within the second
10 method **540** is executed for each object (e.g., record) that needs to be processed by
11 the cmdlet **500**. The cmdlet **500** may also include a third method (not shown) that
12 cleans up after the cmdlet **500**.

13 Thus, as shown in FIGURE 5, code **542** within the second method **540** is
14 typically quite brief and does not contain functionality required in traditional
15 administrative tool environments, such as parsing code, data validation code, and
16 the like. Thus, system administrators can develop complex administrative tasks
17 without learning a complex programming language.

18 FIGURE 6 is an exemplary data structure **600** for specifying a cmdlet base
19 class **602** from which the cmdlet shown in FIGURE 5 is derived. The cmdlet base
20 class **602** includes instructions that provide additional functionality whenever the
21 cmdlet includes a hook statement and a corresponding switch is input on the
22 command line or in the script (jointly referred to as command input).

23 The exemplary data structure **600** includes parameters, such as Boolean
24 parameter verbose **610**, whatif **620**, and confirm **630**. As will be explained below,
25

1 these parameters correspond to strings that may be entered on the command input.
2 The exemplary data structure **600** may also include a security method **640** that
3 determines whether the task being requested for execution is allowed.

4 FIGURE 7 is another exemplary data structure **700** for specifying a
5 cmdlet. In overview, the data structure **700** provides a means for clearly expressing
6 a contract between the administrative tool framework and the cmdlet. Similar to
7 data structure **500**, data structure **700** is a public class that derives from a cmdlet
8 class **704**. The software developer specifies a cmdletDeclaration **702** that
9 associates a noun/verb pair, such as "get/process" and "format/table", with the
10 cmdlet **700**. The noun/verb pair is registered within the administrative tool
11 environment. The verb or the noun may be implicit in the cmdlet name. Also,
12 similar to data structure **500**, data structure **700** may include one or more public
13 members (e.g., Name **730**, Recurse **732**), which may be associated with the one or
14 more directives **520-526** described in conjunction with data structure **500**.

15 However, in this exemplary data structure **700**, each of the expected input
16 parameters **730** and **732** is associated with an input attribute **731** and **733**,
17 respectively. The input attributes **731** and **733** specifying that the data for its
18 respective parameter **730** and **732** should be obtained from the command line.
19 Thus, in this exemplary data structure **700**, there are not any expected input
20 parameters that are populated from a pipelined object that has been emitted by
21 another cmdlet. Thus, data structure **700** does not override the first method (e.g.,
22 StartProcessing) or the second method (e.g., ProcessRecord) which are provided
23 by the cmdlet base class.
24
25

1 The data structure **700** may also include a private member **740** that is not
2 recognized as an input parameter. The private member **740** may be used for
3 storing data that is generated based on one of the directives.

4 Thus, as illustrated in data structure **700**, through the use of declaring
5 public properties and directives within a specific cmdlet class, cmdlet developers
6 can easily specify a grammar for the expected input parameters to their cmdlets
7 and specify processing that should be performed on the expected input parameters
8 without requiring the cmdlet developers to generate any of the underlying logic.
9 Data structure **700** illustrates a direct association between the cmdlet and the
10 grammar mechanism. As mentioned above, this associated may also be indirect,
11 such as by specifying the expected parameter definitions within an external
12 source, such as an XML document.

13 The exemplary process flows within the administrative tool environment
14 are now described.

15 **Exemplary Host Processing Flow**

16 FIGURE 8 is a logical flow diagram illustrating an exemplary process for
17 host processing that is performed within the administrative tool framework shown
18 in FIGURE 2. The process **800** begins at block **801**, where a request has been
19 received to initiate the administrative tool environment for a specific application.
20 The request may have been sent locally through keyboard input, such as selecting
21 an application icon, or remotely through the web services interface of a different
22 computing device. For either scenario, processing continues to block **802**.

23 At block **802**, the specific application (e.g., host program) on the “target”
24 computing device sets up its environment. This includes determining which
25

1 subsets of cmdlets (e.g., management cmdlets 232, non-management cmdlets 234,
2 and host cmdlets 218) are made available to the user. Typically, the host program
3 will make all the non-management cmdlets 234 available and its own host cmdlets
4 218 available. In addition, the host program will make a subset of the
5 management cmdlets 234 available, such as cmdlets dealing with processes, disk,
6 and the like. Thus, once the host program makes the subsets of cmdlets available,
7 the administrative tool framework is effectively embedded within the
8 corresponding application. Processing continues to block 804.

9 At block 804, input is obtained through the specific application. As
10 mentioned above, input may take several forms, such as command lines, scripts,
11 voice, GUI, and the like. For example, when input is obtained via a command
12 line, the input is retrieve from the keystrokes entered on a keyboard. For a GUI
13 host, a string is composed based on the GUI. Processing continues at block 806.

14 At block 806, the input is provided to other components within the
15 administrative tool framework for processing. The host program may forward the
16 input directly to the other components, such as the parser. Alternatively, the host
17 program may forward the input via one of its host cmdlets. The host cmdlet may
18 convert its specific type of input (e.g., voice) into a type of input (e.g., text string,
19 script) that is recognized by the administrative tool framework. For example,
20 voice input may be converted to a script or command line string depending on the
21 content of the voice input. Because each host program is responsible for
22 converting their type of input to an input recognized by the administrative tool
23 framework, the administrative tool framework can accept input from any number
24 of various host components. In addition, the administrative tool framework
25 provides a rich set of utilities that perform conversions between data types when

1 the input is forwarded via one of its cmdlets. Processing performed on the input
2 by the other components is described below in conjunction with several other
3 figures. Host processing continues at decision block **808**.

4 At decision block **808**, a determination is made whether a request was
5 received for additional input. This may occur if one of the other components
6 responsible for processing the input needs additional information from the user in
7 order to complete its processing. For example, a password may be required to
8 access certain data, confirmation of specific actions may be needed, and the like.
9 For certain types of host programs (e.g., voice mail), a request such as this may
10 not be appropriate. Thus, instead of querying the user for additional information,
11 the host program may serialize the state, suspend the state, and send a notification
12 so that at a later time the state may be resumed and the execution of the input be
13 continued. In another variation, the host program may provide a default value
14 after a predetermined time period. If a request for additional input is received,
15 processing loops back to block **804**, where the additional input is obtained.
16 Processing then continues through blocks **806** and **808** as described above. If no
17 request for additional input is received and the input has been processed,
18 processing continues to block **810**.

19 At block **810**, results are received from other components within the
20 administrative tool framework. The results may include error messages, status,
21 and the like. The results are in an object form, which is recognized and processed
22 by the host cmdlet within the administrative tool framework. As will be described
23 below, the code written for each host cmdlet is very minimal. Thus, a rich set of
24 output may be displayed without requiring a huge investment in development
25 costs. Processing continues at block **812**.

1 At block 812, the results may be viewed. The host cmdlet converts the
2 results to the display style supported by the host program. For example, a returned
3 object may be displayed by a GUI host program using a graphical depiction, such
4 as an icon, barking dog, and the like. The host cmdlet provides a default format
5 and output for the data. The default format and output may utilize the exemplary
6 output processing cmdlets described below in conjunction with FIGURES 19-23.
7 After the results are optionally displayed, the host processing is complete.

8 **Exemplary Process Flows for Handling Input**

9 FIGURE 9 is a logical flow diagram illustrating an exemplary process for
10 handling input that is performed within the administrative tool framework shown
11 in FIGURE 2. Processing begins at block 901 where input has been entered via a
12 host program and forwarded to other components within the administrative tool
13 framework. Processing continues at block 902.

14 At block 902, the input is received from the host program. In one
15 exemplary administrative tool framework, the input is received by the parser,
16 which deciphers the input and directs the input for further processing. Processing
17 continues at decision block 904.

18 At decision block 904, a determination is made whether the input is a
19 script. The input may take the form of a script or a string representing a command
20 line (hereinafter, referred to as a "command string"). The command string may
21 represent one or more cmdlets pipelined together. Even though the administrative
22 tool framework supports several different hosts, each host provides the input as
23 either a script or a command string for processing. As will be shown below, the
24 interaction between scripts and command strings is recursive in nature. For
25

1 example, a script may have a line that invokes a cmdlet. The cmdlet itself may be
2 a script.

3 Thus, at decision block **904**, if the input is in a form of a script, processing
4 continues at block **906**, where processing of the script is performed. Otherwise,
5 processing continues at block **908**, where processing of the command string is
6 performed. Once the processing performed within either block **906** or **908** is
7 completed, processing of the input is complete.

8 **Exemplary Processing of Scripts**

9 FIGURE 10 is a logical flow diagram illustrating a process for processing a
10 script suitable for use within the process for handling input shown in FIGURE 9.
11 The process begins at block **1001**, where the input has been identified as a script.
12 The script engine and parser communicate with each other to perform the
13 following functions. Processing continues at block **1002**.

14 At block **1002**, pre-processing is performed on the script. Briefly, turning
15 to FIGURE 11, a logical flow diagram is shown that illustrates a script pre-
16 processing process **1100** suitable for use within the script processing process **1000**.
17 Script pre-processing begins at block **1101** and continues to decision block **1102**.

18 At decision block **1102**, a determination is made whether the script is being
19 run for the first time. This determination may be based on information obtained
20 from a registry or other storage mechanism. The script is identified from within
21 the storage mechanism and the associated data is reviewed. If the script has not
22 run previously, processing continues at block **1104**.

23 At block **1104**, the script is registered in the registry. This allows
24 information about the script to be stored for later access by components within the
25 administrative tool framework. Processing continues at block **1106**.

1 At block **1106**, help and documentation are extracted from the script and
2 stored in the registry. Again, this information may be later accessed by
3 components within the administrative tool framework. The script is now ready for
4 processing and returns to block **1004** in FIGURE 10.

5 Returning to decision block **1102**, if the process concludes that the script
6 has run previously, processing continues to decision block **1108**. At decision block
7 **1108**, a determination is made whether the script failed during processing. This
8 information may be obtained from the registry. If the script has not failed, the
9 script is ready for processing and returns to block **1004** in FIGURE 10.

10 However, if the script has failed, processing continues at block **1110**. At
11 block **1110**, the script engine may notify the user through the host program that the
12 script has previously failed. This notification will allow a user to decide whether
13 to proceed with the script or to exit the script. As mentioned above in conjunction
14 with FIGURE 8, the host program may handle this request in various ways
15 depending on the style of input (e.g., voice, command line). Once additional input
16 is received from the user, the script either returns to block **1004** in FIGURE 10 for
17 processing or the script is aborted.

18 Returning to block **1004** in FIGURE 10, a line from the script is retrieved.
19 Processing continues at decision block **1006**. At decision block **1006**, a
20 determination is made whether the line includes any constraints. A constraint is
21 detected by a predefined begin character (e.g., a bracket “[”) and a corresponding
22 end character (e.g., a close bracket “]”). If the line includes constraints, processing
23 continues to block **1008**.

24 At block **1008**, the constraints included in the line are applied. In general,
25 the constraints provide a mechanism within the administrative tool framework to

1 specify a type for a parameter entered in the script and to specify validation logic
2 which should be performed on the parameter. The constraints are not only
3 applicable to parameters, but are also applicable to any type of construct entered in
4 the script, such as variables. Thus, the constraints provide a mechanism within an
5 interpretive environment to specify a data type and to validate parameters. In
6 traditional environments, system administrators are unable to formally test
7 parameters entered within a script. An exemplary process for applying constraints
8 is illustrated in FIGURE 12.

9 At decision block **1010**, a determination is made whether the line from the
10 script includes built-in capabilities. Built-in capabilities are capabilities that are
11 not performed by the core engine. Built-in capabilities may be processed using
12 cmdlets or may be processed using other mechanisms, such as in-line functions. If
13 the line does not have built-in capabilities, processing continues at decision block
14 **1014**. Otherwise, processing continues at block **1012**.

15 At block **1012**, the built-in capabilities provided on the line of the script are
16 processed. Example built-in capabilities may include execution of control
17 structures, such as “if” statements, “for” loops, switches, and the like. Built-in
18 capabilities may also include assignment type statements (e.g., a=3). Once the
19 built-in capabilities have been processed, processing continues to decision block
20 **1014**.

21 At decision block **1014**, a determination is made whether the line of the
22 script includes a command string. The determination is based on whether the data
23 on the line is associated with a command string that has been registered and with a
24 syntax of the potential cmdlet invocation. As mentioned above, the processing of
25 command strings and scripts may be recursive in nature because scripts may

1 include command strings and command strings may execute a cmdlet that is a
2 script itself. If the line does not include a command string, processing continues at
3 decision block **1018**. Otherwise, processing continues at block **1016**.

4 At block **1016**, the command string is processed. In overview, the
5 processing of the command string includes identifying a cmdlet class by the parser
6 and passing the corresponding cmdlet object to the core engine for execution. The
7 command string may also include a pipelined command string that is parsed into
8 several individual cmdlet objects and individually processed by the core engine.
9 One exemplary process for processing command strings is described below in
10 conjunction with FIGURE 14. Once the command string is processed, processing
11 continues at decision block **1018**.

12 At decision block **1018**, a determination is made whether there is another
13 line in the script. If there is another line in the script, processing loops back to
14 block **1004** and proceeds as described above in blocks **1004-1016**. Otherwise,
15 processing is complete.

16 An exemplary process for applying constraints in block **1008** is illustrated
17 in FIGURE 12. The process begins at block **1201** where a constraint is detected in
18 the script or in the command string on the command line. When the constraint is
19 within a script, the constraints and the associated construct may occur on the same
20 line or on separate lines. When the constraint is within a command string, the
21 constraint and the associated construct occur before the end of line indicator (e.g.,
22 enter key). Processing continues to block **1202**.

23 At block **1202**, constraints are obtained from the interpretive environment.
24 In one exemplary administrative tool environment, the parser deciphers the input
25 and determines the occurrence of constraints. Constraints may be from one of the

1 following categories: predicate directive, parsing directive, data validation
2 directive, data generation directive, processing directive, encoding directive, and
3 documentation directive. For one exemplary parsing syntax, the directives are
4 surrounded by square brackets and describe the construct that follows them. The
5 construct may be a function, a variable, a script, or the like.

6 As will be described below, through the use of directives, script authors are
7 allowed to easily type and perform processing on the parameters within the script
8 or command line (i.e., an interpretive environment) without requiring the script
9 authors to generate any of the underlying logic. Processing continues to block
10 **1204**.

11 At block **1204**, the constraints that are obtained are stored in the metadata
12 for the associated construct. The associated construct is identified as being the
13 first non-attribution token after one or more attribution tokens (tokens that denote
14 constraints) have been encountered. Processing continues to block **1206**.

15 At block **1206**, whenever the construct is encountered within the script or in
16 the command string, the constraints defined within the metadata are applied to the
17 construct. The constraints may include data type, predicate directives **1210**,
18 documentation directives **1212**, parsing directives **1214**, data generation directives
19 **1216**, data validation directives **1218**, and object processing and encoding
20 directives **1220**. Constraints specifying data types may specify any data type
21 supported by the system on which the administrative tool framework is running.
22 Predicate directives **1210** are directives that indicate whether processing should
23 occur. Thus, predicate directives **1210** ensure that the environment is correct for
24 execution. For example, a script may include the following predicate directive:
25

1 [PredicateScript("isInstalled","ApplicationZ")].

2 The predicate directive ensures that the correct application is installed on
3 the computing device before running the script. Typically, system environment
4 variables may be specified as predicate directives. Exemplary directives from
5 directive types 1212-1220 are illustrated in Tables 1-5. Processing of the script is
6 then complete.

7 Thus, the present process for applying types and constraints within an
8 interpretive environment, allows system administrators to easily specify a type,
9 specify validation requirements, and the like without having to write the
10 underlying logic for performing this processing. The following is an example of
11 the constraint processing performed on a command string specified as follows:

12 [Integer][ValidationRange(3,5)]\$a=4.

13
14 There are two constraints specified via attribution tokens denoted by "[]".
15 The first attribution token indicates that the variable is a type integer and a second
16 attribution token indicates that the value of the variable \$a must be between 3 and
17 5 inclusive. The example command string ensures that if the variable \$a is
18 assigned in a subsequent command string or line, the variable \$a will be checked
19 against the two constraints. Thus, the following command strings would each
20 result in an error:

21 \$a = 231

22 \$a = "apple"

23 \$a = \$(get/location).
24
25

The constraints are applied at various stages within the administrative tool framework. For example, applicability directives, documentation directives, and parsing guideline directives are processed at a very early stage within the parser. Data generation directives and validation directives are processed in the engine once the parser has finished parsing all the input parameters.

The following tables illustrate representative directives for the various categories, along with an explanation of the processing performed by the administrative tool environment in response to the directive.

Name	Description
PrerequisiteMachineRoleAttribute	Informs shell whether element is to be used only in certain machine roles (e.g., File Server, Mail Server).
PrerequisiteUserRoleAttribute	Informs shell whether element is to be used only in certain user roles (e.g., Domain Administrator, Backup Operator).
PrerequisiteScriptAttribute	Informs the shell this script will be run before excuting the actual command or parameter. Can be used for parameter validation
PrerequisiteUITypeAttribute	This is used to check the User interface available before excuting

Table 1. Applicability Directives

Name	Description
ParsingParameterPositionAttribute	Maps unqualified parameters based on position.
ParsingVariableLengthParameterListAttribute	Maps parameters not having a ParsingParameterPosition attribute.
ParsingDisallowInteractionAttribute	Specifies action when number of parameters is less than required number.
ParsingRequireInteractionAttribute	Specifies that parameters are obtained through interaction.
ParsingHiddenElementAttribute	Makes parameter invisible to end user.
ParsingMandatoryParameterAttribute	Specifies that the parameter is required.
ParsingPasswordParameterAttribute	Requires special handling of parameter.

ParsingPromptStringAttribute	Specifies a prompt for the parameter.
ParsingDefaultAnswerAttribute	Specifies default answer for parameter.
ParsingDefaultAnswerScriptAttribute	Specifies action to get default answer for parameter.
ParsingDefaultValueAttribute	Specifies default value for parameter.
ParsingDefaultValueScriptAttribute	Specifies action to get default value for parameter.
ParsingParameterMappingAttribute	Specifies a way to group parameters
ParsingParameterDeclarationAttribute	This defines that the filed is a parameter
ParsingAllowPipelineInputAttribute	Defines the parameter can be populated from the pipeline

Table 2. Parsing Guideline Directives

Name	Description
------	-------------

DocumentNameAttribute	Provides a Name to refer to elements for interaction or help.
DocumentShortDescriptionAttribute	Provides brief description of element.
DocumentLongDescriptionAttribute	Provides detailed description of element.
DocumentExampleAttribute	Provides example of element.
DocumentSeeAlsoAttribute	Provides a list of related elements.
DocumentSynopsisAttribute	Provides documentation information for element.

Table 3. Documentation Directives

Name	Description
ValidationRangeAttribute	Specifies that parameter must be within certain range.
ValidationSetAttribute	Specifies that parameter must be within certain collection.
ValidationPatternAttribute	Specifies that parameter must fit a certain pattern.
ValidationLengthAttribute	Specifies the strings must be within size range.

ValidationTypeAttribute	Specifies that parameter must be of certain type.
ValidationCountAttributue	Specifies that input items must be of a certain number.
ValidationFileAttribute	Specifies certain properties for a file.
ValidationFileAttributesAttribute	Specifies certain properties for a file.
ValidationFileSizeAttribute	Specifies that files must be within specified range.
ValidationNetworkAttribute	Specifies that given Network Entity supports certain properties.
ValidationScriptAttribute	Specifies conditions to evaluate before using element.
ValidationMethodAttribute	Specifies conditions to evaluate before using element.

Table 4. Data Validation Directives

Name	Description
ProcessingTrimStringAttribute	Specifies size limit for strings.
ProcessingTrimCollectionAttribute	Specifies size limit for collection.

EncodingTypeCoercionAttribute	Specifies Type that objects are to be encoded.
ExpansionWildcardsAttribute	Provides a mechanism to allow globbing

Table 5. Processing and Encoding Directives

When the exemplary administrative tool framework is operating within the .NET™ Framework, each category has a base class that is derived from a basic category class (e.g., CmdAttribute). The basic category class derives from a System.Attribute class. Each category has a pre-defined function (e.g., attrib.func()) that is called by the parser during category processing. The script author may create a custom category that is derived from a custom category class (e.g., CmdCustomAttribute). The script author may also extend an existing category class by deriving a directive class from the base category class for that category and override the pre-defined function with their implementation. The script author may also override directives and add new directives to the pre-defined set of directives.

The order of processing of these directives may be stored in an external data store accessible by the parser. The administrative tool framework looks for registered categories and calls a function (e.g., ProcessCustomDirective) for each of the directives in that category. Thus, the order of category processing may be dynamic by storing the category execution information in a persistent store. At different processing stages, the parser checks in the persistent store to determine if

any metadata category needs to be executed at that time. This allows categories to be easily deprecated by removing the category entry from the persistent store.

Exemplary Processing of Command Strings

One exemplary process for processing command strings is now described. FIGURE 13 is a functional flow diagram graphically illustrating the processing of a command string 1350 through a parser 220 and a core engine 224 within the administrative tool framework shown in FIGURE 2. The exemplary command string 1350 pipelines several commands (i.e., process command 1360, where command 1362, sort command 1364, and table command 1366). The command line 1350 may pass input parameters to any of the commands (e.g., "handlecount > 400" is passed to the where command 1362). One will note that the process command 1360 does not have any associated input parameters.

In the past, each command was responsible for parsing the input parameters associated with the command, determining whether the input parameters were valid, and issuing error messages if the input parameters were not valid. Because the commands were typically written by various programmers, the syntax for the input parameters on the command line was not very consistent. In addition, if an error occurred, the error message, even for the same error, was not very consistent between the commands.

For example, in a UNIX environment, an "ls" command and a "ps" command have many inconsistencies between them. While both accept an option "-w", the "-w" option is used by the "ls" command to denote the width of the page, while the "-w" option is used by the "ps" command to denote print wide output (in essence, ignoring page width). The help pages associated with the "ls" and the

1 "ps" command have several inconsistencies too, such as having options bolded in
2 one and not the other, sorting options alphabetically in one and not the other,
3 requiring some options to have dashes and some not.

4 The present administrative tool framework provides a more consistent
5 approach and minimizes the amount of duplicative code that each developer must
6 write. The administrative tool framework 200 provides a syntax (e.g., grammar), a
7 corresponding semantics (e.g., a dictionary), and a reference model to enable
8 developers to easily take advantage of common functionality provided by the
9 administrative tool framework 200.

10 Before describing the present invention any further, definitions for
11 additional terms appearing through-out this specification are provided. Input
12 parameter refers to input-fields for a cmdlet. Argument refers to an input
13 parameter passed to a cmdlet that is the equivalent of a single string in the argv
14 array or passed as a single element in a cmdlet object. As will be described below,
15 a cmdlet provides a mechanism for specifying a grammar. The mechanism may
16 be provided directly or indirectly. An argument is one of an option, an option-
17 argument, or an operand following the command-name. Examples of arguments
18 are given based on the following command line:

19
20
21 findstr /i /d:\winnt;\winnt\system32 aa*b *.ini.
22

23 In the above command line, "findstr" is argument 0, "/i" is argument 1,
24 "/d:\winnt;\winnt\system32" is argument 2, "aa*b" is argument 3, and "*.ini" is
25

1 argument 4. An "option" is an argument to a cmdlet that is generally used to
2 specify changes to the program's default behavior. Continuing with the example
3 command line above, "/i" and "/d" are options. An "option-argument" is an input
4 parameter that follows certain options. In some cases, an option-argument is
5 included within the same argument string as the option. In other cases, the option-
6 argument is included as the next argument. Referring again to the above
7 command line, "winnt;\winnt\system32" is an option-argument. An "operand" is
8 an argument to a cmdlet that is generally used as an object supplying information
9 to a program necessary to complete program processing. Operands generally
10 follow the options in a command line. Referring to the example command line
11 above again, "aa*b" and "*.ini" are operands. A "parsable stream" includes the
12 arguments.

13 Referring to FIGURE 13, parser **220** parses a parsable stream (e.g.,
14 command string **1350**) into constituent parts **1320-1326** (e.g., where portion **1322**).
15 Each portion **1320-1326** is associated with one of the cmdlets **1330-1336**. Parser
16 **220** and engine **224** perform various processing, such as parsing, parameter
17 validation, data generation, parameter processing, parameter encoding, and
18 parameter documentation. Because parser **220** and engine **224** perform common
19 functionality on the input parameters on the command line, the administrative tool
20 framework **200** is able to issue consistent error messages to users.

21 As one will recognize, the executable cmdlets **1330-1336** written in
22 accordance with the present administrative tool framework require less code than
23 commands in prior administrative environments. Each executable cmdlet **1330-**
24 **1336** is identified using its respective constituent part **1320-1326**. In addition,
25

1 each executable cmdlet 1330-1336 outputs objects (represented by arrows 1340,
2 1342, 1344, and 1346) which are input as input objects (represented by arrows
3 1341, 1343, and 1345) to the next pipelined cmdlet. These objects may be input
4 by passing a reference (e.g., handle) to the object. The executable cmdlets 1330-
5 1336 may then perform additional processing on the objects that were passed in.

6 FIGURE 14 is a logical flow diagram illustrating in more detail the
7 processing of command strings suitable for use within the process for handling
8 input shown in FIGURE 9. The command string processing begins at block 1401,
9 where either the parser or the script engine identified a command string within the
10 input. In general the core engine performs set-up and sequencing of the data flow
11 of the cmdlets. The set-up and sequencing for one cmdlet is described below, but
12 is applicable to each cmdlet in a pipeline. Processing continues at block 1404.

13 At block 1404, a cmdlet is identified. The identification of the cmdlet may
14 be thru registration. The core engine determines whether the cmdlet is local or
15 remote. The cmdlet may execute in the following locations: 1) within the
16 application domain of the administrative tool framework; 2) within another
17 application domain of the same process as the administrative tool framework; 3)
18 within another process on the same computing device; or 4) within a remote
19 computing device. The communication between cmdlets operating within the
20 same process is through objects. The communication between cmdlets operating
21 within different processes is through a serialized structured data format. One
22 exemplary serialized structured data format is based on the extensible markup
23 language (XML). Processing continues at block 1406.
24
25

1 At block **1406**, an instance of the cmdlet object is created. An exemplary
2 process for creating an instance of the cmdlet is described below in conjunction
3 with FIGURE 15. Once the cmdlet object is created, processing continues at
4 block **1408**.

5 At block **1408**, the properties associated with the cmdlet object are
6 populated. As described above, the developer declares properties within a cmdlet
7 class or within an external source. Briefly, the administrative tool framework will
8 decipher the incoming object(s) to the cmdlet instantiated from the cmdlet class
9 based on the name and type that is declared for the property. If the types are
10 different, the type may be coerced via the extended data type manager. As
11 mentioned earlier, in pipelined command strings, the output of each cmdlet may be
12 a list of handles to objects. The next cmdlet may inputs this list of object handles,
13 performs processing, and passes another list of object handles to the next cmdlet.
14 In addition, as illustrated in FIGURE 7, input parameters may be specified as
15 coming from the command line. One exemplary method for populating properties
16 associated with a cmdlet is described below in conjunction with FIGURE 16.
17 Once the cmdlet is populated, processing continues at block **1410**.

18 At block **1410**, the cmdlet is executed. In overview, the processing
19 provided by the cmdlet is performed at least once, which includes processing for
20 each input object to the cmdlet. Thus, if the cmdlet is the first cmdlet within a
21 pipelined command string, the processing is executed once. For subsequent
22 cmdlets, the processing is executed for each object that is passed to the cmdlet.
23 One exemplary method for executing cmdlets is described below in conjunction
24 with FIGURE 5. When the input parameters are only coming from the command
25

1 line, execution of the cmdlet uses the default methods provided by the base cmdlet
2 case. Once the cmdlet is finished executing, processing proceeds to block **1412**.

3 At block **1412**, the cmdlet is cleaned-up. This includes calling the
4 destructor for the associated cmdlet object which is responsible for de-allocating
5 memory and the like. The processing of the command string is then complete.

6 Exemplary Process for Creating a Cmdlet Object

7 FIGURE 15 is a logical flow diagram illustrating an exemplary process for
8 creating a cmdlet object suitable for use within the processing of command strings
9 shown in FIGURE 14. At this point, the cmdlet data structure has been developed
10 and attributes and expected input parameters have been specified. The cmdlet has
11 been compiled and has been registered. During registration, the class name (i.e.,
12 cmdlet name) is written in the registration store and the metadata associated with
13 the cmdlet has been stored. The process **1500** begins at block **1501**, where the
14 parser has received input (e.g., keystrokes) indicating a cmdlet. The parser may
15 recognize the input as a cmdlet by looking up the input from within the registry
16 and associating the input with one of the registered cmdlets. Processing proceeds
17 to block **1504**.

18 At block **1504**, metadata associated with the cmdlet object class is read.
19 The metadata includes any of the directives associated with the cmdlet. The
20 directives may apply to the cmdlet itself or to one or more of the parameters.
21 During cmdlet registration, the registration code registers the metadata into a
22 persistent store. The metadata may be stored in an XML file in a serialized
23 format, an external database, and the like. Similar to the processing of directives
24 during script processing, each category of directives is processed at a different
25

1 stage. Each metadata directive handles its own error handling. Processing
2 continues at block **1506**.

3 At block **1506**, a cmdlet object is instantiated based on the identified cmdlet
4 class. Processing continues at block **1508**.

5 At block **1508**, information is obtained about the cmdlet. This may occur
6 through reflection or other means. The information is about the expected input
7 parameters. As mentioned above, the parameters that are declared public (e.g.,
8 public string Name **730**) correspond to expected input parameters that can be
9 specified in a command string on a command line or provided in an input stream.
10 The administrative tool framework through the extended type manager, described
11 in FIGURE 18, provides a common interface for returning the information (on a
12 need basis) to the caller. Processing continues at block **1510**.

13 At block **1510**, applicability directives (e.g., Table 1) are applied. The
14 applicability directives insure that the class is used in certain machine roles and/or
15 user roles. For example, certain cmdlets may only be used by Domain
16 Administrators. If the constraint specified in one of the applicability directives is
17 not met, an error occurs. Processing continues at block **1512**.

18 At block **1512**, metadata is used to provide intellisense. At this point in
19 processing, the entire command string has not yet been entered. The
20 administrative tool framework, however, knows the available cmdlets. Once a
21 cmdlet has been determined, the administrative tool framework knows the input
22 parameters that are allowed by reflecting on the cmdlet object. Thus, the
23 administrative tool framework may auto-complete the cmdlet once a
24 disambiguating portion of the cmdlet name is provided, and then auto-complete
25

1 the input parameter once a disambiguating portion of the input parameter has been
2 typed on the command line. Auto-completion may occur as soon as the portion of
3 the input parameter can identify one of the input parameters unambiguously. In
4 addition, auto-completion may occur on cmdlet names and operands too.
5 Processing continues at block **1514**.

6 At block **1514**, the process waits until the input parameters for the cmdlet
7 have been entered. This may occur once the user has indicated the end of the
8 command string, such as by hitting a return key. In a script, a new line indicates
9 the end of the command string. This wait may include obtaining additional
10 information from the user regarding the parameters and applying other directives.
11 When the cmdlet is one of the pipelined parameters, processing may begin
12 immediately. Once, the necessary command string and input parameters have
13 been provided, processing is complete.

14 Exemplary Process for Populating the Cmdlet

15 An exemplary process for populating a cmdlet is illustrated in FIGURE 16
16 and is now described, in conjunction with FIGURE 5. In one exemplary
17 administrative tool framework, the core engine performs the processing to
18 populate the parameters for the cmdlet. Processing begins at block **1601** after an
19 instance of a cmdlet has been created. Processing continues to block **1602**.

20 At block **1602**, a parameter (e.g., ProcessName) declared within the cmdlet
21 is retrieved. Based on the declaration with the cmdlet, the core engine recognizes
22 that the incoming input objects will provide a property named "ProcessName". If
23 the type of the incoming property is different than the type specified in the
24 parameter declaration, the type will be coerced via the extended type manager.
25

1 The process of coercing data types is explained below in the subsection entitled
2 “Exemplary Extended Type Manager Processing.” Processing continues to block
3 1603.

4 At block 1603, an attribute associated with the parameter is obtained. The
5 attribute identifies whether the input source for the parameter is the command line
6 or whether it is from the pipeline. Processing continues to decision block 1604.

7 At decision block 1604, a determination is made whether the attribute
8 specifies the input source as the command line. If the input source is the
9 command line, processing continues at block 1609. Otherwise, processing
10 continues at decision block 1605.

11 At decision block **1605**, a determination is made whether the property name
12 specified in the declaration should be used or whether a mapping for the property
13 name should be used. This determination is based on whether the command input
14 specified a mapping for the parameter. The following line illustrates an exemplary
15 mapping of the parameter “ProcessName” to the “foo” member of the incoming
16 object:

17 `$ get/process | where han* -gt 500 | stop/process -ProcessName<-foo.`

18 Processing continues at block **1606**.

19 At block **1606**, the mapping is applied. The mapping replaces the name of
20 the expected parameter from “ProcessName” to “foo”, which is then used by the
21 core engine to parse the incoming objects and to identify the correct expected
22 parameter. Processing continues at block **1608**.

23 At block **1608**, the extended type manager is queried to locate a value for
24 the parameter within the incoming object. As explain in conjunction with the
25 extended type manager, the extended type manager takes the parameter name and

1 uses reflection to identify a parameter within the incoming object with parameter
2 name. The extended type manager may also perform other processing for the
3 parameter, if necessary. For example, the extended type manager may coerce the
4 type of data to the expected type of data through a conversion mechanism
5 described above. Processing continues to decision block **1610**.

6 Referring back to block 1609, if the attribute specifies that the input source
7 is the command line, data from the command line is obtained. Obtaining the data
8 from the command line may be performed via the extended type manager.
9 Processing then continues to decision block 1610.

10 At decision block **1610**, a determination is made whether there is another
11 expected parameter. If there is another expected parameter, processing loops back
12 to block **1602** and proceeds as described above. Otherwise, processing is
13 complete and returns.

14 Thus, as shown, cmdlets act as a template for shredding incoming data to
15 obtain the expected parameters. In addition, the expected parameters are obtained
16 without knowing the type of incoming object providing the value for the expected
17 parameter. This is quite different than traditional administrative environments.
18 Traditional administrative environments are tightly bound and require that the type
19 of object be known at compile time. In addition, in traditional environments, the
20 expected parameter would have been passed into the function by value or by
21 reference. Thus, the present parsing (e.g., “shredding”) mechanism allows
22 programmers to specify the type of parameter without requiring them to
23 specifically know how the values for these parameters are obtained.

24 For example, given the following declaration for the cmdlet Foo:
25

```

1
2      class Foo : Cmdlet
3
4      {
5
6          string Name;
7
8          Bool Recurse;
9
10     }

```

The command line syntax may be any of the following:

```

12     $ Foo -Name: (string) -Recurse: True
13
14     $ Foo -Name <string> -Recurse True
15
16     $Foo -Name (string).

```

The set of rules may be modified by system administrators in order to yield a desired syntax. In addition, the parser may support multiple sets of rules, so that more than one syntax can be used by users. In essence, the grammar associated with the cmdlet structure (e.g., string Name and Bool Recurse) drives the parser.

In general, the parsing directives describe how the parameters entered as the command string should map to the expected parameters identified in the cmdlet object. The input parameter types are checked to determine whether correct. If the input parameter types are not correct, the input parameters may be

1 coerced to become correct. If the input parameter types are not correct and can not
2 be coerced, a usage error is printed. The usage error allows the user to become
3 aware of the correct syntax that is expected. The usage error may obtain
4 information describing the syntax from the Documentation Directives. Once the
5 input parameter types have either been mapped or have been verified, the
6 corresponding members in the cmdlet object instance are populated. As the
7 members are populated, the extended type manager provides processing of the
8 input parameter types. Briefly, the processing may include a property path
9 mechanism, a key mechanism, a compare mechanism, a conversion mechanism, a
10 globber mechanism, a relationship mechanism, and a property set mechanism.
11 Each of these mechanisms is described in detail below in the section entitled
12 “Extended Type Manager Processing”, which also includes illustrative examples.

13 Exemplary Process for Executing the Cmdlet

14 An exemplary process for executing a cmdlet is illustrated in FIGURE 17
15 and is now described. In one exemplary administrative tool environment, the core
16 engine executes the cmdlet. As mentioned above, the code 1442 within the second
17 method 1440 is executed for each input object. Processing begins at block 1701
18 where the cmdlet has already been populated. Processing continues at block 1702.

19 At block 1702, a statement from the code 542 is retrieved for execution.
20 Processing continues at decision block 1704.

21 At decision block 1704, a determination is made whether a hook is included
22 within the statement. Turning briefly to FIGURE 5, the hook may include calling
23 an API provided by the core engine. For example, statement 550 within the code
24 542 of cmdlet 500 in FIGURE 5 calls the confirmprocessing API specifying the
25

1 necessary parameters, a first string (e.g., "PID="), and a parameter (e.g., PID).
2 Turning back to FIGURE 17, if the statement includes the hook, processing
3 continues to block 1712. Thus, if the instruction calling the confirmprocessing
4 API is specified, the cmdlet operates in an alternate executing mode that is
5 provided by the operating environment. Otherwise, processing continues at block
6 1706 and execution continues in the "normal" mode.

7 At block 1706, the statement is processed. Processing then proceeds to
8 decision block 1708. At block 1708, a determination is made whether the code
9 includes another statement. If there is another statement, processing loops back to
10 block 1702 to get the next statement and proceeds as described above. Otherwise,
11 processing continues to decision block 1714.

12 At decision block 1714, a determination is made whether there is another
13 input object to process. If there is another input object, processing continues to
14 block 1716 where the cmdlet is populated with data from the next object. The
15 population process described in FIGURE 16 is performed with the next object.
16 Processing then loops back to block 1702 and proceeds as described above. Once
17 all the objects have been processed, the process for executing the cmdlet is
18 complete and returns.

19 Returning back to decision block 1704, if the statement includes the hook,
20 processing continues to block 1712. At block 1712, the additional features
21 provided by the administrative tool environment are processed. Processing
22 continues at decision block 1708 and continues as described above.

23 The additional processing performed within block 1712 is now described in
24 conjunction with the exemplary data structure 600 illustrated in FIGURE 6. As
25

1 explained above, within the command base class **600** there may be parameters
2 declared that correspond to additional expected input parameters (e.g., a switch).

3 The switch includes a predetermined string, and when recognized, directs
4 the core engine to provide additional functionality to the cmdlet. If the parameter
5 verbose **610** is specified in the command input, verbose statements **614** are
6 executed. The following is an example of a command line that includes the
7 verbose switch:

8
9 \$ get/process | where "han* -gt 500" | stop/process -verbose.
10

11 In general, when "-verbose" is specified within the command input, the
12 core engine executes the command for each input object and forwards the actual
13 command that was executed for each input object to the host program for display.
14 The following is an example of output generated when the above command line is
15 executed in the exemplary administrative tool environment:

16
17 \$ stop/process PID=15
18 \$ stop/process PID=33.
19

20 If the parameter whatif **620** is specified in the command input, whatif
21 statements **624** are executed. The following is an example of a command line that
22 includes the whatif switch:

23
24 \$ get/process | where "han* -gt 500" | stop/process -whatif.
25

1 In general, when “-whatif” is specified, the core engine does not actually
2 execute the code 542, but rather sends the commands that would have been
3 executed to the host program for display. The following is an example of output
4 generated when the above command line is executed in the administrative tool
5 environment of the present invention:

6
7 # \$ stop/process PID=15

8 # \$ stop/process PID=33.

9
10 If the parameter confirm 630 is specified in the command input, confirm
11 statements 634 are executed. The following is an example of a command line that
12 includes the confirm switch:

13
14 \$ get/process | where “han* -gt 500” | stop/process –confirm.

15
16 In general, when “-confirm” is specified, the core engine requests
17 additional user input on whether to proceed with the command or not. The
18 following is an example of output generated when the above command line is
19 executed in the administrative tool environment of the present invention.

20
21 \$ stop/process PID 15

22 Y/N Y

23 \$ stop/process PID 33

24 Y/N N.

1 As described above, the exemplary data structure 600 may also include a
2 security method 640 that determines whether the task being requested for
3 execution should be allowed. In traditional administrative environments, each
4 command is responsible for checking whether the person executing the command
5 has sufficient privileges to perform the command. In order to perform this check,
6 extensive code is needed to access information from several sources. Because of
7 these complexities, many commands did not perform a security check. The
8 inventors of the present administrative tool environment recognized that when the
9 task is specified in the command input, the necessary information for performing
10 the security check is available within the administrative tool environment.
11 Therefore, the administrative tool framework performs the security check without
12 requiring complex code from the tool developers. The security check may be
13 performed for any cmdlet that defines the hook within its cmdlet. Alternatively,
14 the hook may be an optional input parameter that can be specified in the command
15 input, similar to the verbose parameter described above.

16 The security check is implemented to support roles based authentication,
17 which is generally defined as a system of controlling which users have access to
18 resources based on the role of the user. Thus, each role is assigned certain access
19 rights to different resources. A user is then assigned to one or more roles. In
20 general, roles based authentication focus on three items: principle, resource, and
21 action. The *principle* identifies who requested the *action* to be performed on the
22 *resource*.

23 The inventors of the present invention recognized that the cmdlet being
24 requested corresponded to the action that was to be performed. In addition, the
25 inventors appreciated that the owner of the process in which the administrative

1 tool framework was executing corresponded to the principle. Further, the
2 inventors appreciated that the resource is specified within the cmdlet. Therefore,
3 because the administrative tool framework has access to these items, the inventors
4 recognized that the security check could be performed from within the
5 administrative tool framework without requiring tool developers to implement the
6 security check.

7 The operation of the security check may be performed any time additional
8 functionality is requested within the cmdlet by using the hook, such as the
9 confirmprocessing API. Alternatively, security check may be performed by
10 checking whether a security switch was entered on the command line, similar to
11 verbose, whatif, and confirm. For either implementation, the checkSecurity
12 method calls an API provided by a security process (not shown) that provides a set
13 of APIs for determining who is allowed. The security process takes the
14 information provided by the administrative tool framework and provides a result
15 indicating whether the task may be completed. The administrative tool framework
16 may then provide an error or just stop the execution of the task.

17 Thus, by providing the hook within the cmdlet, the developers may use
18 additional processing provided by the administrative tool framework.

19 Exemplary Extended Type Manager Processing

20 As briefly mentioned above in conjunction with FIGURE 18, the extended
21 type manager may perform additional processing on objects that are supplied. The
22 additional processing may be performed at the request of the parser 220, the script
23 engine 222, or the pipeline processor 402. The additional processing includes a
24 property path mechanism, a key mechanism, a compare mechanism, a conversion
25 mechanism, a globber mechanism, a relationship mechanism, and a property set

1 mechanism. Those skilled in the art will appreciate that the extended type
2 manager may also be extended with other processing without departing from the
3 scope of the claimed invention. Each of the additional processing mechanisms is
4 now described.

5 First, the property path mechanism allows a string to navigate properties of
6 objects. In current reflection systems, queries may query properties of an object.
7 However, in the present extended type manager, a string may be specified that will
8 provide a navigation path to successive properties of objects. The following is an
9 illustrative syntax for the property path: P1.P2.P3.P4.

10 Each component (e.g., P1, P2, P3, and P4) comprises a string that may
11 represent a property, a method with parameters, a method without parameters, a
12 field, an XPATH, or the like. An XPATH specifies a query string to search for an
13 element (e.g., "/FOO@=13"). Within the string, a special character may be
14 included to specifically indicate the type of component. If the string does not
15 contain the special character, the extended type manager may perform a lookup to
16 determine the type of component. For example, if component P1 is an object, the
17 extended type manager may query whether P2 is a property of the object, a
18 method on the object, a field of the object, or a property set. Once the extended
19 type manager identifies the type for P2, processing according to that type is
20 performed. If the component is not one of the above types, the extended type
21 manager may further query the extended sources to determine whether there is a
22 conversion function to convert the type of P1 into the type of P2. These and other
23 lookups will now be described using illustrative command strings and showing the
24 respective output.
25

1 The following is an illustrative string that includes a property path:

2 \$ get/process | /where hand* -gt 500 | format/table name.toupper, ws.kb,
3 exe*.ver*.description.tolower.trunc(30).

4 In the above illustrative string, there are three property paths: (1)
5 “name.toupper”; (2) “ws.kb”; and (3) “exe*.ver*.description.tolower.trunc(30).
6 Before describing these property paths, one should note that “name”, “ws”, and
7 “exe” specify the properties for the table. In addition, one should note that each of
8 these properties is a direct property of the incoming object, originally generated by
9 “get/process” and then pipelined through the various cmdlets. Processing
10 involved for each of the three property paths will now be described.

11 In the first property path (i.e., “name.toupper”), name is a direct property of
12 the incoming object and is also an object itself. The extended type manager
13 queries the system using the priority lookup described above to determine the type
14 for toupper. The extended type manager discovers that toupper is not a property.
15 However, toupper may be a method inherited by a string type to convert lower
16 case letters to upper case letters within the string. Alternatively, the extended type
17 manager may have queried the extended metadata to determine whether there is
18 any third party code that can convert a name object to upper case. Upon finding
19 the component type, processing is performed in accordance with that component
20 type.
21

22 In the second property path (i.e., “ws.kb”), “ws” is a direct property of the
23 incoming object and is also an object itself. The extended type manager
24 determines that “ws” is an integer. Then, the extended type manager queries
25 whether kb is a property of an integer, whether kb is a method of an integer, and

1 finally queries whether any code knows how to take an integer and convert the
2 integer to a kb type. Third party code is registered to perform this conversion and
3 the conversion is performed.

4 In the third property path (i.e., "exe*.ver*.description.tolower.trunc(30)"),
5 there are several components. The first component ("exe*") is a direct property of
6 the incoming object and is also an object. Again, the extended type manager
7 proceeds down the lookup query in order to process the second component
8 ("ver*"). The "exe*" object does not have a "ver*" property or method, so the
9 extend type manager queries the extended metadata to determine whether there is
10 any code that is registered to convert an executable name into a version. For this
11 example, such code exists. The code may take the executable name string and use
12 it to open a file, then accesses the version block object, and return the description
13 property (the third component ("description") of the version block object. The
14 extended type manager then performs this same lookup mechanism for the fourth
15 component ("tolower") and the fifth component ("trunc(40)"). Thus, as
16 illustrated, the extended type manager may perform quite elaborate processing on
17 a command string without the administrator needing to write any specific code.
18 Table 1 illustrates output generated for the illustrative string.

19
20
21 Name.toupper ws.kb exe*.ver*.description.tolower.trunc(30)

22 ETCLIENT 29,964 etclient

23 CSRSS 6,944

24 SVCHOST 28,944 generic host process for win32

25 OUTLOOK 18,556 office outlook

MSMSGs 13,248 messenger

Table 1.

Another query mechanism **1824** includes a key. The key identifies one or more properties that make an instance of the data type unique. For example, in a database, one column may be identified as the key which can uniquely identify each row (e.g., social security number). The key is stored within the type metadata **1840** associated with the data type. This key may then be used by the extended type manager when processing objects of that data type. The data type may be an extended data type or an existing data type.

Another query mechanism **1824** includes a compare mechanism. The compare mechanism compares two objects. If the two objects directly support the compare function, the directly supported compare function is executed. However, if neither object supports a compare function, the extended type manager may look in the type metadata for code that has been registered to support the compare between the two objects. An illustrative series of command line strings invoking the compare mechanism is shown below, along with corresponding output in Table 2.

```
$ $a = $( get/date )
$ start/sleep 5
$ $b = $( get/date
compare/time $a $b
```

```
Ticks      : 51196579
Days       : 0
Hours      : 0
```

1 Milliseconds : 119
2 Minutes : 0
3 Seconds : 5
4 TotalDays : 5.92552997685185E-05
5 TotalHours : 0.00142212719444444
6 TotalMilliseconds : 5119.6579
7 TotalMinutes : 0.0853276316666667
8 TotalSeconds : 5.1196579

9 Table 2.

10 Compare/time cmdlet is written to compare two datetime objects. In this
11 case, the DateTime object supports the IComparable interface.

12
13 Another query mechanism **1824** includes a conversion mechanism. The
14 extended type manager allows code to be registered stating its ability to perform a
15 specific conversion. Then, when an object of type A is input and a cmdlet
16 specifies an object of type B, the extended type manager may perform the
17 conversion using one of the registered conversions. The extended type manager
18 may perform a series of conversions in order to coerce type A into type B. The
19 property path described above (“ws.kb”) illustrates a conversion mechanism.

20 Another query mechanism **1824** includes a globber mechanism. A globber
21 refers to a wild card character within a string. The globber mechanism inputs the
22 string with the wild card character and produces a set of objects. The extended
23 type manager allows code to be registered that specifies wildcard processing. The
24 property path described above (“exe*.ver*.description.tolower.trunc(30))
25

illustrates the globber mechanism. A registered process may provide globbing for file names, file objects, incoming properties, and the like.

Another query mechanism 1824 includes a property set mechanism. The property set mechanism allows a name to be defined for a set of properties. An administrator may then specify the name within the command string to obtain the set of properties. The property set may be defined in various ways. In one way, a predefined parameter, such as “?”, may be entered as an input parameter for a cmdlet. The operating environment upon recognizing the predefined parameter lists all the properties of the incoming object. The list may be a GUI that allows an administrator to easily check (e.g., “click on”) the properties desired and name the property set. The property set information is then stored in the extended metadata. An illustrative string invoking the property set mechanism is shown below, along with corresponding output in Table 3:

```
$ get/process | where han* -gt 500 | format/table config.
```

In this illustrative string, a property set named “config” has been defined to include a name property, a process id property (Pid), and a priority property. The output for the table is shown below.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Pid</u>	<u>Priority</u>
ETClient	3528	Normal
csrss	528	Normal
svchost	848	Normal
OUTLOOK	2,772	Normal
msmsgs	2,584	Normal

Table 3.

Another query mechanism 1824 includes a relationship mechanism. In contrast to traditional type systems that support one relationship (i.e., inheritance), the relationship mechanism supports expressing more than one relationship between types. Again, these relationships are registered. The relationship may include finding items that the object consumes or finding the items that consume the object. The extended type manager may access ontologies that describe various relationships. Using the extended metadata and the code, a specification for accessing any ontology service, such as OWL, DAWL, and the like, may be described. The following is a portion of an illustrative string which utilizes the relationship mechanism: .OWL:"string".

The "OWL" identifier identifies the ontology service and the "string" specifies the specific string within the ontology service. Thus, the extended type manager may access types supplied by ontology services.

Exemplary Process for Displaying Command Line Data

The present mechanism provides a data driven command line output. The formatting and outputting of the data is provided by one or more cmdlets in the pipeline of cmdlets. Typically, these cmdlets are included within the non-management cmdlets described in conjunction with FIGURE 2 above. The cmdlets may include a format cmdlet, a markup cmdlet, a convert cmdlet, a transform cmdlet, and an out cmdlet.

FIGURE 19 graphically depicts exemplary sequences 1901-1907 of these cmdlets within a pipeline. The first sequence 1901 illustrates the out cmdlet 1910 as the last cmdlet in the pipeline. In the same manner as described above for other

cmdlets, the out cmdlet **1910** accepts a stream of pipeline objects generated and processed by other cmdlets within the pipeline. However, in contrast to most cmdlets, the out cmdlet **1910** does not emit pipeline objects for other cmdlets. Instead, the out cmdlet **1910** is responsible for rendering/displaying the results generated by the pipeline. Each out cmdlet **1910** is associated with an output destination, such as a device, a program, and the like. For example, for a console device, the out cmdlet **1910** may be specified as out/console; for an internet browser, the out cmdlet **1910** may be specified as out/browser; and for a window, the out cmdlet **1910** may be specified as out/window. Each specific out cmdlet is familiar with the capabilities of its associated destination. Locale information (e.g., date & currency formats) are processed by the out cmdlet **1910**, unless a convert cmdlet preceded the out cmdlet in the pipeline. In this situation, the convert cmdlet processed the local information.

Each host is responsible for supporting certain out cmdlets, such as out/console. The host also supports any destination specific host cmdlet (e.g., out/chart that directs output to a chart provided by a spreadsheet application). In addition, the host is responsible for providing default handling of results. The out cmdlet in this sequence may decide to implement its behavior by calling other output processing cmdlets (such as format/markup/convert/transform). Thus, the out cmdlet may implicitly modify sequence **1901** to any of the other sequences or may add its own additional format/output cmdlets.

The second sequence **1902** illustrates a format cmdlet **1920** before the out cmdlet **1910**. For this sequence, the format cmdlet **1920** accepts a stream of pipeline objects generated and processed by other cmdlets within the pipeline. In overview, the format cmdlet **1920** provides a way to select display properties and a

1 way to specify a page layout, such as shape, column widths, headers, footers, and
2 the like. The shape may include a table, a wide list, a columnar list, and the like.
3 In addition, the format cmdlet **1920** may include computations of totals or sums.
4 Exemplary processing performed by a format cmdlet **1920** is described below in
5 conjunction with FIGURE 20. Briefly, the format cmdlet emits format objects, in
6 addition to emitting pipeline objects. The format objects can be recognized
7 downstream by an out cmdlet (e.g., out cmdlet **1920** in sequence 1902) via the
8 extended type manager or other mechanism. The out cmdlet **1920** may choose to
9 either use the emitted format objects or may choose to ignore them. The out
10 cmdlet determines the page layout based on the page layout data specified in the
11 display information. In certain instances, modifications to the page layout may be
12 specified by the out cmdlet. In one exemplary process the out cmdlet may
13 determine an unspecified column width by finding a maximum length for each
14 property of a predetermined number of objects (e.g., 50) and setting the column
15 width to the maximum length. The format objects include formatting information,
16 header/footer information, and the like.

17 The third sequence **1903** illustrates a format cmdlet **1920** before the out
18 cmdlet 1910. However, in the third sequence 1903, a markup cmdlet **1930** is
19 pipelined between the format cmdlet **1920** and the out cmdlet 1910. The markup
20 cmdlet **1930** provides a mechanism for adding property annotation (e.g., font,
21 color) to selected parameters. Thus, the markup cmdlet **1930** appears before the
22 output cmdlet 1910. The property annotations may be implemented using a
23 “shadow property bag”, or by adding property annotations in a custom namespace
24 in a property bag. The markup cmdlet **1930** may appear before the format cmdlet
25

1 **1920** as long as the markup annotations may be maintained during processing of
2 the format cmdlet **1920**.

3 The fourth sequence **1904** again illustrates a format cmdlet **1920** before the
4 out cmdlet **1910**. However, in the fourth sequence **1904**, a convert cmdlet **1940** is
5 pipelined between the format cmdlet **1920** and the out cmdlet **1910**. The convert
6 cmdlet **1940** is also configured to process the format objects emitted by the format
7 cmdlet **1920**. The convert cmdlet **1940** converts the pipelined objects into a
8 specific encoding based on the format objects. The convert cmdlet **1940** is
9 associated with the specific encoding. For example, the convert cmdlet **1940** that
10 converts the pipelined objects into Active Directory Objects (ADO) may be
11 declared as “convert/ADO” on the command line. Likewise, the convert cmdlet
12 **1940** that converts the pipelined objects into comma separated values (csv) may be
13 declared as “convert/csv” on the command line. Some of the convert cmdlets
14 **1940** (e.g., convert/XML and convert/html) may be blocking commands, meaning
15 that all the pipelined objects are received before executing the conversion.
16 Typically, the out cmdlet **1920** may determine whether to use the formatting
17 information provided by the format objects. However, when a convert cmdlet
18 **1920** appears before the out cmdlet **1920**, the actual data conversion has already
19 occurred before the out cmdlet receives the objects. Therefore, in this situation,
20 the out cmdlet can not ignore the conversion.

21 The fifth sequence **1905** illustrates a format cmdlet **1920**, a markup cmdlet
22 **1930**, a convert cmdlet **1940**, and an out cmdlet **1910** in that order. Thus, this
23 illustrates that the markup cmdlet **1930** may occur before the convert cmdlet **1940**.

24 The sixth sequence **1906** illustrates a format cmdlet **1920**, a specific convert
25 cmdlet (e.g., convert/xml cmdlet **1940**'), a specific transform cmdlet (e.g.,

transform/xslt cmdlet 1950), and an out cmdlet 1910. The convert/xml cmdlet 1940' converts the pipelined objects into an extended markup language (XML) document. The transform/xslt cmdlet **1950** transforms the XML document into another XML document using an Extensible Style Language (XSL) style sheet. The transform process is commonly referred to as extensible style language transformation (XSLT), in which an XSL processor reads the XML document and follows the instructions within the XSL style sheet to create the new XML document.

The seventh sequence **1907** illustrates a format cmdlet 1920, a markup cmdlet 1930, a specific convert cmdlet (e.g., convert/xml cmdlet 1940'), a specific transform cmdlet (e.g., transform/xslt cmdlet 1950), and an out cmdlet 1910. Thus, the seventh sequence **1907** illustrates having the markup cmdlet **1930** upstream from the convert cmdlet and transform cmdlet.

FIGURE 20 illustrates exemplary processing **2000** performed by a format cmdlet. The formatting process begins at block 2001, after the format cmdlet has been parsed and invoked by the parser and pipeline processor in a manner described above. Processing continues at block 2002.

At block 2002, a pipeline object is received as input to the format cmdlet. Processing continues at block 2004.

At block 2004, a query is initiated to identify a type for the pipelined object. This query is performed by the extended type manager as described above in conjunction with FIGURE 18. Once the extended type manager has identified the type for the object, processing continues at block 2006.

1 At block 2006, the identified type is looked up in display information. An
2 exemplary format for the display information is illustrated in FIGURE 21 and will
3 be described below. Processing continues at decision block 2008.

4 At decision block 2008, a determination is made whether the identified type
5 is specified within the display information. If there is no entry within the display
6 information for the identified type, processing is complete. Otherwise, processing
7 continues at block 2010.

8 At block 2010, formatting information associated with the identified type is
9 obtained from the display information. Processing continues at block 2012.

10 At block 2012, information is emitted on the pipeline. Once the
11 information is emitted, the processing is complete.

12 Exemplary information that may be emitted is now described in further
13 detail. The information may include formatting information, header/footer
14 information, and a group end/begin signal object. The formatting information may
15 include a shape, a label, numbering/bullets, column widths, character encoding
16 type, content font properties, page length, group-by-property name, and the like.
17 Each of these may have additional specifications associated with it. For example,
18 the shape may specify whether the shape is a table, a list, or the like. Labels may
19 specify whether to use column headers, list labels, or the like. Character encoding
20 may specify ASCII, UTF-8, Unicode, and the like. Content font properties may
21 specify the font that is applied to the property values that are display. A default
22 font property (e.g., Courier New, 10 point) may be used if content font properties
23 are not specified.

24 The header/footer information may include a header/footer scope, font
25 properties, title, subtitle, date, time, page numbering, separator, and the like. For

1 example, the scope may specify a document, a page, a group, or the like.
2 Additional properties may be specified for either the header or the footer. For
3 example, for group and document footers, the additional properties may include
4 properties or columns to calculate a sum/total, object counts, label strings for totals
5 and counts, and the like.

6 The group end/begin signal objects are emitted when the format cmdlet
7 detects that a group-by property has changed. When this occurs, the format cmdlet
8 treats the stream of pipeline objects as previously sorted and does not re-sort them.
9 The group end/begin signal objects may be interspersed with the pipeline objects.
10 Multiple group-by properties may be specified for nested sorting. The format
11 cmdlet may also emit a format end object that includes final sums and totals.

12 Turning briefly to FIGURE 21, an exemplary display information **2100** is in
13 a structured format and contains information (e.g., formatting information,
14 header/footer information, group-by properties or methods) associated with each
15 object that has been defined. For example, the display information **2100** may be
16 XML-based. Each of the afore-mentioned properties may then be specified within
17 the display information. The information within the display information **2100** may
18 be populated by the owner of the object type that is being entered. The operating
19 environment provides certain APIs and cmdlets that allow the owner to update the
20 display information by creating, deleting, and modifying entries.

21 FIGURE 22 is a table listing an exemplary syntax 2201-2213 for certain
22 format cmdlets (e., format/table, format/list, and format/wide), markup cmdlets
23 (e.g., add/markup), convert cmdlets (e.g., convert/text, convert/sv, convert/csv,
24 convert/ADO, convert/XML, convert/html), transform cmdlets (e.g.,
25 transform/XSLT) and out cmdlets (e.g., out/console, out/file). FIGURE 23

1 illustrates results rendered by the out/console cmdlet using various pipeline
2 sequences of the output processing cmdlets (e.g., format cmdlets, convert cmdlets,
3 and markup cmdlets).

4 As described, the mechanism for handling input parameters may be
5 employed in an administrative tool environment. However, those skilled in the art
6 will appreciate that the mechanism may be employed in various environments that
7 need to specify and operate on input parameters. The present mechanism for
8 handling input parameters is quite different from the traditional mechanisms in
9 several ways. For example, in traditional mechanisms, the input parameters are
10 passed by reference or by value into a method or function. The method or
11 function then executes using the input parameters that were passed. In contrast,
12 the present mechanism specifies the input parameters within a class declaration,
13 not a call to a method or function. Furthermore, when an object associated with
14 the class declaration becomes instantiated, the input parameters are obtained from
15 a source input. The source input may be various formats, such as a command line,
16 an object, XML document, and others.

17 Although details of specific implementations and embodiments are
18 described above, such details are intended to satisfy statutory disclosure
19 obligations rather than to limit the scope of the following claims. Thus, the
20 invention as defined by the claims is not limited to the specific features described
21 above. Rather, the invention is claimed in any of its forms or modifications that
22 fall within the proper scope of the appended claims, appropriately interpreted in
23 accordance with the doctrine of equivalents.